

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,705 03/09/2004)3/09/2004	Keun-sik Kim	AM101338 4219	
25291	7590	02/01/2006		EXAMINER	
WYETH				DAVIS, I	BRIAN J
PATENT I	LAW GROU	JP			
5 GIRALDA FARMS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON, NJ 07940				1621	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/797,705	KIM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian J. Davis	1621				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	nuary 2006.					
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
· —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
_	on Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/797,705

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

112 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-19 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment and arguments. With respect to claims 1 and 12, applicant's arguments have been carefully considered and are persuasive. The examiner is now in agreement with applicant that one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that substituents would be labeled ortho or para in relation to the point of attachment of the ring to the remainder of the molecule. With respect to claims 3, 4, 9 and 15-19, the amendment cancels the claims. With respect to the remainder of the claims, the rejection is now moot.

102 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 3, 4 and 9 under 35 USC 102(b) over WO 02/50017 A1, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment cancels the claims.

The rejection of claims 15 and 16 under 35 USC 102(b) over *J. Med. Chem.* (1990), 33(10), p. 2899-2905, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment cancels the claims.

The rejection of claims 17-19 under 35 USC 102(b) over WO 00/59851, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment cancels the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,705

Art Unit: 1621

3

102 Rejections Maintained

The rejection of claims 1, 2, 5-8 and 10-14 under 35 USC 102(b) over WO 02/50017A1, outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument hinges upon the fact that "[a]pplicants claim a process whereby pretreatment of the catalyst is eliminated." The examiner respectfully disagrees. It is well-settled case law that the inclusion of "open" claim language (...comprising...) in a claim text encompasses any all steps that may be in addition to those explicitly delimited in the instant claim. Thus, the instant claim as written reads on the cited prior art in the manner explicitly detailed in the rejection, regardless of the prior art's using a pretreatment step, whatever its parameters.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/797,705

Art Unit: 1621

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian J. Davis

January 26, 2006

BRIAN DAVIS